

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

RICHARD DENNY NOFSINGER, JR.,
Defendant

may not be given directly to the defendant and may not be removed from the secure location by the defendant. The defendant is advised that violation of this Order may result in a defendant being required to show cause why he should not be held in contempt of court. Defense counsel must retrieve these materials at the conclusion of this case and either destroy the materials or return them to the U.S. Attorney's Office.

3. To the extent that the defendant seeks disclosure of material described in *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83 (1963), and related cases, including *United States v. Giglio*, 405 U.S. 150 (1972) (impeachment evidence), the government's obligations to produce these materials in a timely manner exist regardless of any specific direction by the court, *see United States v. Holmes*, 722 F.2d 37, 41 (4th Cir. 1983); and

4. Otherwise than as set forth herein, the Motion is **DENIED**.

ENTER: August 9, 2016.

/s/ Pamela Meade Sargent
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE